

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,990	11/04/2003	Marc K. Hellerstein	416272005200 6654	
	7590 12/14/2007 : FOERSTER LLP		EXAMINER	
425 MARKET	425 MARKET STREET		GITOMER, RALPH J	
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94105-2482		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/701,990	HELLERSTEIN, MARC K.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ralph Gitomer	1657			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo	• •		,			
VVHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do consions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	ovember 2007	•			
		action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-32,34,35 and 37-43</u> is/are pending i	in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-32,34,35 and 37-43 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` '			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	+				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage			
+ 0	application from the International Bureau	* ***				
- S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not received	d.			
Attachment	• •	🗖				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔀 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number:

10/701,990

Art Unit: 1657

The amendment received 11/2/07 has been entered and claims
1-32, 34, 35, 37-43 are currently pending in this application. The amended title is acceptable. The priority date of this application is November 4, 2002.

In view of the amendments to the claims, the rejection of record under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, is hereby withdrawn.

This Office Action is made non-final in order to introduce new references.

The claimed invention is directed to a method of determining in vivo metabolism of either any sugar or fatty acid by administering a 2H labeled sugar or fatty acid and detecting a labeled product. The conventional method of determining such metabolism is to administer a carbon labeled sugar or fatty acid or a labeled water, all of which are taught in a number of the references cited in this application. The dependent claims are directed to methods of administering and methods of detecting, all of which are conventional and given no further consideration here. As claimed and described in the present specification Summary and elsewhere, sugar and fatty acid metabolism determinations are considered equivalents; if applicants disagree with this statement, a restriction between sugar and fatty acid metabolism methods will follow.

Art Unit: 1657

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-13, 18-24, 28-30—32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by each of Rittenberg and Emken.

Rittenberg (J of Biol Chem) entitled "V. The Desaturation of Fatty Acids in the Organism" teaches administering deuterated fatty acids to determine fatty acid metabolism.

Rittenberg (J of Biol Chem) entitled "VIII. Hydrogenation of Fatty acids in the Animal Organism" teaches administering deutero fatty acids to determine fatty acid metabolism.

Rittenberg (J of Biol Chem) entitled "X. the Metabolism of Butyric and Caproic Acids" teaches administering labeled fatty acids. On page 504 second paragraph there is a discussion regarding labeling carbon vs. hydrogen for studying fatty acid metabolism.

Emken (Am J Clin Nutr) entitled "Metabolism of Dietary Stearic Acid Relative to Other Fatty Acids in Human Subjects" teaches in the abstract, studying fatty acid metabolism by administering deuterated fatty acids to subjects.

Application/Control Number:

10/701,990

Art Unit: 1657

All of the features of the claims are taught by each of the above references for the same function as claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-32, 34, 35, 37-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of each of Rittenberg and Emken in view of Jones.

The claims differ from Rittenberg and Emken in that they include administering 2H labeled sugars instead of 2H labeled fatty acids.

Jones (Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab) entitled "An Integrated 2H and 13C NMR Study of Gluconeogenesis and TCA Cycle Flux in Humans" teaches studying sugar metabolism with labeled glucose, water and fatty acid. No 2H labeled sugars or fatty acids are administered, only 2H labeled water, and 13C labeled glucose and fatty acid.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to administer labeled 2H sugars to subjects to study sugar metabolism because the primary references teach administering 2H labeled fatty acids to study fatty acid metabolism and the secondary reference teaches administering 2H labeled water and labeled carbon sugars to study sugar

metabolism. To select labeling H instead of C in the study of sugar metabolism is rendered obvious by the primary references which teach studying fatty acid metabolism with labeled H instead of labeled C for the same function, studying metabolism. No patentability is seen in the selection of labeling any element for the known function of labeling with the expected result. The study of metabolism by administering labeled reactants and then determining the resulting labeled products is old and to select which atom in the reactant to label would have been obvious in the absence of unexpected results.

Regarding the claims directed to managing patients, diagnosing, identifying, monitoring, and treating are all obvious in view of studying the metabolism associated with such pathology. Most medical testing is based on determining abnormal metabolism as associated with disease processes and the leap from abnormal metabolism to disease is old.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 25-27 are directed to protein and DNA which fail to further limit claim 1 which is directed to fatty acids and sugars only.

Art Unit: 1657

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Zhang (European J of Lipid Science and Technology) teaches 2H labeled fatty acid studies.

Turner (J of Pharm Tox Methods) teaches isotopes to study toxicology.

Hellerstein (Current Opinion in Drug Discovery & Development) entitled "Emerging Applications of Kinetic Biomarkers in Preclinical and Clinical Drug Development" teaches in the abstract measuring fluxes with isotope labeled substances administered to animals. Both sugar and fatty acid metabolism are studied. 2H labeled water and labeled carbon compounds are administered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/701,990

Art Unit: 1657

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657

Recloure